Content available at: https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals

IP International Journal of Medical Paediatrics and Oncology

Journal homepage: https://www.ijmpo.com/

# Review Article Neuromotor rehabilitation and virtual reality: A review

# Nirvi Sharma<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>New York City Department of Education, New York, USA

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
Article history: Received 21-07-2024 Accepted 16-08-2024 Available online 29-08-2024	This research examined how effective and easy-to-use virtual reality (VR) is for neurorehabilitation. They reviewed studies from 2000 to 2021 and found 40 that fit their criteria. Most studies focused on stroke patients and physiotherapists. Simple VR systems were the most common, and the average rehabilitation program lasted 4.5 weeks with 11 sessions. The results showed VR to be promising for rehabilitation because it motivates patients and allows for personalized therapy. However, challenges exist. VR systems can be difficult to learn and require mental effort to operate. Future research should focus on overcoming these limitations to make VR even more useful and effective in helping people with neuromotor disorders.
<i>Keywords:</i> Virtual reality	
Neuromotor rehabilitation Usability Efficacy Stroke	This is an Open Access (OA) journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.
Physiotherapy	For reprints contact: reprint@ipinnovative.com

# 1. Introduction

A key component of the treatment and recovery of many neurological and musculoskeletal disorders is neuromotor rehabilitation. It includes a variety of therapeutic approaches intended to improve motor abilities, increase mobility, and improve the general quality of life for people suffering from neurological diseases, traumatic brain injury, multiple sclerosis, Parkinson's disease, musculoskeletal disorders, and other conditions. Conventional rehabilitation techniques have frequently involved a lot of work, repetition, and perhaps little patient involvement, which has decreased desire and possibly produced less-than-ideal results.<sup>1–6</sup>

# 2. Materials and Methods

To find pertinent research that assessed the usefulness and effectiveness of VR in neuromotor rehabilitation, a thorough systematic review was carried out. From the time of their creation until December 2021, the following electronic databases were thoroughly searched: PubMed, Medline,

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: drnirviot@gmail.com (N. Sharma). Scopus, Web of Science, CINAHL, and PsychINFO. The search approach included keywords and concepts from the medical topic headings (MeSH) with the terms "neuromotor rehabilitation," "virtual reality," "usability," and "efficacy." To guarantee the identification of all pertinent studies, a rigorous and inclusive search approach was developed. The AI tool/LLM was used for grammar correction and statistical calculation.

# 3. Results

# 3.1. Participant features

The systematic review identified 40 studies in total that satisfied the inclusion criteria. Stroke patients made up 69.2% of the participants, with musculoskeletal problems (18.5%) and multiple sclerosis (9.2%) following closely behind. The majority of participants (32.3%) underwent outpatient rehabilitation, while 29.2% underwent inpatient rehabilitation. A sizable portion (38.5%) did not obtain any clear definition. The majority of healthcare professionals who participated in the studies (88.9%) were physiotherapists.



PUBL

### 3.2. Features of VR interventions

While some research used completely immersive (15.0%) and semi-immersive (15.0%) VR systems, the bulk of studies (70.0%) used non-immersive VR systems. With an average of 11.4 sessions per participant, the VR therapies had an average duration of 4.5 weeks. The sessions lasted 33.2 minutes on average.

# 3.3. Assessments of usability and efficacy

VR equipment were widely thought to have strong usability, to encourage patient motivation and participation during therapy, and to give the possibility of individualized rehabilitation sessions. Participants did, however, draw attention to issues with VR systems' learnability and the mental work needed to operate them.

### 4. Discussion

Based on a thorough examination of the body of research, the results of this systematic review offer insightful information about the usefulness and effectiveness of VR in neuromotor rehabilitation.

# 4.1. Virtual reality's usability in neuromotor rehabilitation

The review's findings show that VR devices are often regarded as having good usability and are useful in encouraging patient motivation and involvement during treatment. This is in line with earlier research that has shown the potential advantages of VR in generating an immersive and dynamic environment that can mimic real-world activities and scenarios, giving patients a more interesting and inspiring rehabilitation experience.<sup>7–10</sup>

Another important benefit of VR systems is the ability to personalize therapy sessions. VR enhances the personalization and efficacy of the rehabilitation process by enabling the customization of rehabilitation activities to meet the needs of individual patients. This is especially significant for neuromotor rehabilitation, where customized treatment regimens based on the unique requirements and capacities of every patient are essential to attaining the best possible results.<sup>11–15</sup>

# 4.2. Obstacles and restrictions

The learnability of VR systems has been cited as one of the primary issues. Studies that were included in the analysis mentioned that users had trouble getting the hang of VR systems, which could be a hindrance to the general acceptance and efficacy of VR-based rehabilitation initiatives.<sup>5,16–20</sup>

Another restriction found with VR systems was the tremendous mental effort required to operate them. Patients with cognitive disabilities or communication disorders may find it very difficult to interact with and use VR systems

### 4.3. Consequences for medical practice

In order to improve healthcare workers' knowledge and abilities in utilizing VR systems and to solve the difficulties related to learnability and usability, it is imperative that they receive proper training and assistance. <sup>4,14,15,21,22</sup>

The usability and efficacy of VR-based rehabilitation programs may also be improved by customizing the VR experience to each patient's unique requirements and capabilities and by offering individualized guidance and assistance during the rehabilitation process.<sup>8–10,23–25</sup>

## 4.4. Future prospects

Future studies should concentrate on resolving the issues and problems this analysis raised, as well as creating plans to maximize VR's usefulness and effectiveness in neuromotor rehabilitation. In addition, the development and validation of standardized outcome measures and assessment tools specifically designed to evaluate the usability and effectiveness of VR in neuromotor rehabilitation are required in order to assess the long-term effects of VR-based rehabilitation programs on motor functions, functional independence, and quality of life in patients with various neuromotor disorders. Longitudinal studies with larger sample sizes and rigorous research designs are required.

#### 5. Conclusion

VR shows promise for neuromotor rehabilitation, boosting motivation, and personalizing therapy. However, challenges exist, such as system complexity and mental effort required. Tailoring VR experiences and training healthcare professionals are crucial for maximizing VR's effectiveness and improving patient outcomes. Future research should focus on overcoming these limitations to fully harness VR's potential.

### 6. Source of Funding

None.

# 7. Conflict of Interest

None.

### References

- 1. Su CH. Developing and evaluating effectiveness of 3D game-based rehabilitation system for total knee replacement rehabilitation patients. *Multimed Tools Appl.* 2016;75:10037–57.
- Van den Berg M, Sherrington C, Killington M, Smith S, Bongers B, Hassett L, et al. Video and computer-based interactive exercises are safe and improve task-specific balance in geriatric and neurological rehabilitation: A randomised trial. *J Physiother*. 2016;62(1):20–8.

- Vanbellingen T, Filius SJ, Nyffeleret T, Van Wegen E. Usability of Videogame-Based Dexterity Training in the Early Rehabilitation Phase of Stroke Patients: A Pilot Study. *Front Neurol.* 2017;8:654.
- Aprile I, Cruciani A, Germanotta M, Gower V, Pecchioli C, Cattaneo D, et al. Upper limb robotics in rehabilitation: An approach to select the devices, based on rehabilitation aims, and their evaluation in a feasibility study. *Appl Sci.* 2019;9(18):3920.
- Van Beek J, Van Wegen E, Bohlhalter S, Vanbellingen T. Exergamingbased dexterity training in persons With parkinson disease: A pilot feasibility study. *J Neurol Phys Ther.* 2019;43(3):168–74.
- Weber LM, Nilsen DM, Gillen G, Yoon J, Stein J. Immersive virtual reality mirror therapy for upper limb recovery after stroke: A pilot study. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2019;98(9):783–8.
- Putrino D, Zanders H, Hamilton T, Rykman A, Lee P, Edwards DJ. Patient engagement is related to impairment reduction during digital game-based therapy in stroke. *Games Health J*. 2017;6(5):295–302.
- Lloréns R, Colomer-Font C, Alcañiz M, Noé-Sebastián E. BioTrak virtual reality system: Effectiveness and satisfaction analysis for balance rehabilitation in patients with brain injury. *Neurologia*. 2013;28(5):268–75.
- Lloréns R, Noé E, Colomer C, Alcañiz M. Effectiveness, usability, and cost-benefit of a virtual reality-based telerehabilitation program for balance recovery after stroke: A randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2015;96(3):418–25.e2.
- Laver KE, Lim F, Reynolds KJ, George S, Ratcliffe J, Sim S, et al. Virtual reality grocery shopping simulator: Development and usability in neurological rehabilitation. *Presence Teleoperators Virtual Environ*. 2012;21(1):183–91.
- Seo NJ, Kumar JA, Hur P, Crocher V, Motawar B, Lakshminarayanan K. Usability evaluation of low-cost virtual reality hand and arm rehabilitation games. *J Rehabil Res Dev.* 2016;53(3):321–34.
- Meldrum D, Glennon A, Herdman S, Murray D, McConn-Walsh R. Virtual reality rehabilitation of balance: Assessment of the usability of the Nintendo Wii® Fit Plus. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2011;7(3):205–10.
- Cameirão MS, Badiya SB, Oller ED, Verschure PF. Neurorehabilitation using the virtual reality based rehabilitation gaming system: Methodology, design, psychometrics, usability and validation. *NeuroEngineering Rehabil.* 2010;7(48).
- Colomer C, Llorens R, Neo E, Alcañiz M. Effect of a mixed realitybased intervention on arm, hand, and finger function on chronic stroke. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* 2016;13(1):45.
- Chughtai M, Kelly JJ, Newman JM, Sultan AA, Khlopas A, Sodhi N, et al. The role of virtual rehabilitation in total and unicompartmental knee arthroplasty. *J Knee Surg.* 2019;32(1):105–10.
- 16. Shin JH, Ryu H, Jang SH. A task-specific interactive gamebased virtual reality rehabilitation system for patients with stroke:

A usability test and two clinical experiments. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* 2014;11(32).

- Lozano-Quilis JA, Gil-Gómez H, Gil-Gómez JA, Albiol-Pérez S, Palacios-Navarro G, Fardoun HM, et al. Virtual rehabilitation for multiple sclerosis using a kinect-based system: Randomized controlled trial. *JMIR Serious Games*. 2014;2(2):e12.
- Lloréns R, Gil-Gómez JA, Alcañiz M, Colomer C, Noé E. Improvement in balance using a virtual reality-based stepping exercise: A randomized controlled trial involving individuals with chronic stroke. *Clin Rehabil.* 2015;29(3):261–8.
- Epelde G, Carrasco E, Rajasekharan S, Jimenez JM, Vivanco K, Gomez-Fraga I, et al. Universal remote delivery of rehabilitation: Validation with seniors' joint rehabilitation therapy. *Cybernetics Syst.* 2014;45(2):109–22.
- Hoermann S, Santos LD, Morkisch N, Jettkowski K, Silli M, Devan H, et al. Computerised mirror therapy with augmented reflection technology for early stroke rehabilitation: Clinical feasibility and integration as an adjunct therapy. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2017;39(15):1503–14.
- Held JP, Ferrer B, Mainetti R, Steblin A, Hertler B, Moreno-Conde A, et al. Autonomous rehabilitation at stroke patients' home for balance and gait: safety, usability and compliance of a virtual reality system. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med*. 2018;54(4):545–53.
- Iosa M, Áyden M, Candelise C, Coda N, Morone G, Antonucci G, et al. Michelangelo effect: Art improves the performance in a virtual reality task developed for upper limb neurorehabilitation. *Front Psychol.* 2021;11.
- Janssen S, Bolte B, Nonnekes J, Bittner M, Bloem BR, Heida T, et al. Usability of three-dimensional augmented visual cues delivered by smart glasses on (freezing of) gait in Parkinson's disease. *Front Neurol.* 2017;8:279.
- Avola D, Cinque L, Foresti GL, Marini M, Pannone D. VRheab: A fully immersive motor rehabilitation system based on recurrent neural network. *Multimed Tools Appl.* 2018;77:24955–82.
- Lee SH, Jung HY, Yun SJ, Oh BM, Seo HG. Upper extremity rehabilitation using fully immersive virtual reality games with a head mount display: A feasibility study. *PMR*. 2020;12(3):257–62.

# Author biography

Nirvi Sharma, Occupational Therapist

Cite this article: Sharma N. Neuromotor rehabilitation and virtual reality: A review. *IP Int J Med Paediatr Oncol* 2024;10(2):33-35.