Content available at: https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals

IP International Journal of Medical Paediatrics and Oncology

Journal homepage: www.ipinnovative.com

Review Article

Obesity in children – A challenge that pediatric dentist ignores

Shaik Ali Hassan[1],*, Sumit Bhateja[2], Geetika Arora[3], Francis Prathyusha[1]

[1]*Dept. of Dental, Dr. Francis Maxillofacial and Dental Clinic, India*
[2]*Manav Rachna Dental College, Faridabad, Haryana, India*
[3]*Inderprastha Dental College & Hospital, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 04-06-2020
Accepted 16-06-2020
Available online 29-09-2020

Keywords:
Obesity
Children
Caries
Periodontal
Unhealthy foods

ABSTRACT

While the counteraction of youth heftiness is the main suitable, suffering, financially savvy answer for the corpulence scourge, viable techniques for it stay tricky. Moreover, methodologies to impact obesogenic situations remain generally unexplored. So as to have the option to grow amazing populace level mediations and general wellbeing arrangements to forestall youth stoutness, it is essential to comprehend its etiology and those situations that are generally amiable to quantifiable change. In this article we will tell about the how obesity is caused and how it can have effect on child oral health.

1. Introduction

Pediatric dentists ought to know about the logical writing that embroils youth dental caries and corpulence, which may effectively affect the youngster's present and future oral and fundamental wellbeing.[1] In addition, it might be conceivable that these[2] maladies potentiate one another's impeding effect, which in extreme cases may prompt disastrous outcomes. Notwithstanding, the information gave in the writing is still scant and once in a while muddled. Furthermore, weight may altogether meddle or impact the impact of pediatric dental conscious sedations because of breathing troubles. The reason for this original copy is to audit the writing on the connections between youth oral illnesses and weight, also, between corpulence, breathing, and conscious sedation.

In the event that youth overweight and corpulence are to be tended to, they should be characterized, and symptomatic standards set to empower human services experts to distinguish the individuals who are in danger or influenced.[3] In spite of the expanding number of youngsters portrayed as overweight or corpulent, there is an absence of a thorough logical meaning of these terms and absence of lucidity over how they ought to be surveyed (Livieri et al. 2003).[4] Be that as it may, there are rules that can be utilized to help medicinal services experts to decide if a kid is overweight or large.

Ruxton (2004) and Sasayama et al. (2003) characterize weight as an overabundance of muscle to fat ratio, with overweight being viewed as a less extreme overabundance of muscle versus fat than weight. Albeit overweight may coherently be thought to allude basically to body weight, weight alone isn't viewed as an exact proportion of whether a difficult exists. There is an assortment of strategies that can be utilized to evaluate the volume of muscle versus fat with impressive exactness. These incorporate submerged gauging (densitometry), multifrequency bioelectrical impedance investigation (BIA) and attractive reverberation imaging (MRI) (Ruxton 2004).[5–7] Regardless of their precision, such techniques are not proper or valuable in most clinical circumstances and would not be viewed as down to earth or alluring as screening instruments. In day

* *Corresponding author*.
E-mail address: alishaikhassan@gmail.com (S. A. Hassan).

https://doi.org/10.18231/j.ijmpo.2020.020

to-day pediatric reconnaissance, the significant factors in the devices used to survey whether a kid is overweight or corpulent are usability, absence of intrusiveness and precision of estimation.[8–10] Simple to get measures incorporate weight and range (from which the weight record [BMI] utilizing weight [kg] partitioned by tallness [m2] can be evaluated), abdomen boundary what's more, skinfold thickness (Ruxton 2004). These strategies are less accurate, however they are functional and for the most part considered adequately solid (SIGN 2003), especially when utilized related to each other, to empower distinguishing proof of hazard.[11]

2. Impact of obesity on child

Stoutness in kids, and grown-ups, is a quickly developing issue in the UK and worldwide and has been expanding at quickening rates in later years. Youth corpulence is related with various co-morbidities in youth and with an expanded danger of grown-up malady, especially CVD, hypertension and type 2 diabetes. Additionally, hefty kids tend to be more detached and have lower confidence than their peers.[12] Diminishing youth corpulence and wellbeing imbalances is at the focal point of the UK government's wellbeing strategy. The government's 'Picking Health' white paper on improving general wellbeing in England[13,14] diagrams various activities to tackle key current general medical problems. In particular, six key needs for activity have been recognized, with kids' wellbeing, especially youth corpulence, being a significant core interest. Ending development in youth heftiness is their prime target.

One of the means towards accomplishing this is the turn of events of a national social showcasing procedure. Wellbeing related social showcasing is 'the orderly use of advertising ideas and strategies, to accomplish explicit social objectives, to improve wellbeing and diminish inequalities'.[15] Significantly this procedure tends to short-, medium-and longhaul issues, perceiving that empowering sound decisions and related social change is a complex process, requiring more than just expanded open attention to medical problems. This shows how government general wellbeing arrangement is moving endlessly from considering ailment groupings in seclusion, towards a populace approach that thinks about the determinants of wellbeing – which is the reason weight has abruptly ascended the plan. Weight related infections represent a generous extent of expenses of human services assets worldwide.[16] Without an attention on counteraction, the unavoidable extravagant expense of dealing with the heftiness plague will more likely than not be unreasonably costly for some nations. As needs be it follows that the avoidance of weight in youngsters is vital.

The significance of the earth in controlling heftiness is broadly recognized. A WHO report states that major social and ecological changes to settle on more beneficial decisions increasingly available and ideal are required to forestall weight. The quality of a natural methodology is that critical populace advantages can result from even reasonably little impacts if countless people are presented to that environment.[17] However, while the avoidance of youth corpulence is the main reasonable, suffering, financially savvy arrangement, compelling strategies for it stay tricky. Besides, systems to impact obesogenic situations remain moderately unexplored. In request to have the option to grow incredible populace level mediations and general wellbeing strategies to forestall youth stoutness, we have to completely comprehend its etiology and those conditions that are generally manageable to quantifiable change, which is the thing that the current survey looks to consider.[18–20]

3. Etiology of childhood obesity

3.1. Excess energy intake

It would appear to be intelligent that the ascent in weight predominance may be somewhat because of increments in vitality consumption, yet incomprehensibly, in the USA at any rate, while the commonness of weight in young people has doubled,[21] vitality admissions (in young people) have obviously decreased.[22] There are, notwithstanding, worries about the exactness of measures depending on announced food admission. Food vanishing information propose that vitality admissions have really expanded while announced food admissions show a decrease.[23] (Food vanishing is comparable to food accessible for utilization. It is determined by including complete food creation (in addition to imports, less fares) and overall deficits from handling at the factory level and food took care of creatures. Food vanishing information are a sensible estimate in all nations of the patterns in food utilization at the national level. Be that as it may, the information does not reflect genuine utilization in light of the fact that extra misfortunes in the evolved way of life connecting the makers and plants to the buyers are not thought of.).

3.2. Eating ways

Changes in dietary examples and dietary patterns are probably going to be factors identified with the expanded pervasiveness of adolescence corpulence. Nibbling is picking up noticeable quality as a potential hazard factor for obesity.[24–27] as is skipping dinners. While babies and small kids typically eat as often as possible, as kids get more established regular eating is customarily (in Western culture) supplanted by 'three complete dinners daily'. Be that as it may, eating events are progressively getting less all around characterized and a 'brushing' or nibbling society is penetrating our general public with 'dinners' at increasingly successive or unpredictable intervals[28] and suppers being skipped. The effect of nibbling might be ascribed to the sorts and measures of nourishments eaten just as the

recurrence of eating. Nibbling is regularly connected with more vitality thick nourishments (what's more, drink) or progressively complete food ingested, especially outside the home where the kinds of nourishments ordinarily expended as snacks are regularly high in fat or high in carbohydrates.[29] It has been demonstrated that body weight isn't influenced by the recurrence of eating – in a lab under isoenergetic conditions. Nonetheless, genuine isn't isoenergetic. Marmonier et al.[30] exhibited that bites postpone the following feast somewhat yet that the 'eating individual' devours more all-out vitality throughout the day. This proposes eating adds to positive vitality balance, over the present moment at any rate. Longer-length considers, which might be increasingly prescient of long-haul conduct, show conflicting results. Johnstone et al.[31] indicated no distinction in vitality consumption among snackers and non-snackers more than 7 d, while Blair[32] indicated higher weight reduction in subjects who halted eating. An investigation of kids in Japan demonstrated that nibbling was corresponded with an expanded danger of obesity,[33] however a longitudinal examination by Phillips et al.[34] found no relationship among weight and the utilization of vitality thick snacks.

Notwithstanding, eating can be hard to gauge all things considered frequently self-detailed, which can be exceptionally mistaken. For model, Barkeling et al[35] approved self-announced food consumption with salivation tests, which demonstrated noteworthy contrasts in levels of sweet nourishments expended between the fat also, non-corpulent gatherings, yet the food journals indicated no noteworthy contrasts. Kids who skip breakfast may have a higher danger of resulting obesity.[36,37] The instrument is hazy, yet it might be because of breakfast utilization being a marker of general great solid conduct or being identified with diminished fat admission and diminished eating during the day. On the other hand, it might be because of a lopsided dissemination of vitality consumption through the span of the day, for instance, those who don't devour breakfast will in general eat a lot of food at night, and this awkwardness could prompt a higher danger of obesity.[38]

3.3. Consumption of unhealthy foods

Another dietary risk factor for obesity, unsurprisingly, is a high utilization of undesirable nourishments, and specifically 'quick' nourishments and sodas. The prominence of quick nourishments has expanded over later a long time and utilization by youngsters has risen 300 % over the last 20 years.[39] It has been indicated that when youngsters eat quick food, at that point that day their vitality and fat admission is probably going to be higher, and products of the soil consumption lower, than normal.[40] Additionally, kids who eat inexpensive food every now and again devour more complete vitality, more vitality per g food, progressively absolute fat, more complete starch, more included sugars, and less fiber, less milk, less products of the soil than kids who eat quick food infrequently.[41,42] Likewise it isn't the utilization of inexpensive food, as such, that prompts corpulence (as both lean what's more, large youngsters devour inexpensive food), however the way that overweight customers of cheap food are less inclined to modify their day by day vitality admission to assess a vitality thick inexpensive food feast than their lean counterparts.[43,44] There has additionally been a monstrous increment in the measure of soda pops expended. Soda pop admission currently represents the biggest single wellspring of non-milk extraneous sugar admission in children.[45] These liquids will in general supplant milk and Ca admission for young children, which is a worry, not least since there is an opposite connection between Ca admission furthermore, adiposity.[46]

4. Relationship of caries and obesity

It is hazy if there is a relationship among's caries and heftiness, or they simply coincide since they have basic etiologic factors, for example, diet and financial status.[47,48] One could anticipate that as the consequence of diet propensities, large youngsters will have a higher pervasiveness of caries when contrasted with youngsters who are with an ordinary or lower than typical weight. Then again, it might be conceivable that youngsters with serious caries experience issues eating and, in this way, be underweight. Ruth be told, the writing doesn't demonstrate reliable discoveries.[49–55] For instance, Kopycka-Kedziarawski et al (2008) 25 surveyed the information of the NHANES 1999-2002 furthermore, NHANES III and summed up that overweight kids try not to have an expanded hazard for dental caries subsequent to controlling for age, race, and destitution/salary proportion. Additionally, the information from NHANES III recommended that being overweight might be related with diminished paces of caries in more established kids, On the other hand, Alm et al (2008) demonstrated that overweight and stout young people had more proximal caries than typical weight people, and that the continuous utilization of nibbling items during youth gives off an impression of being a hazard marker for caries at 15 years.

5. Relationship of Obesity and periodontal disease

While a noteworthy number of studies have announced an relationship between corpulence as reflected by BMI and periodontal illnesses in grown-ups,[56–59] just not many reports are accessible on this relationship in kids, most likely mirroring the idea that periodontal maladies in youngsters have a family member little commonness when contrasted with grown-ups. The ebb and flow writing underpins a relationship between periodontal infection

what's more, overweight in the pediatric populace.[60,61] In perspective on the approaching plague of stoutness in kids because of inactive lifestyle and unfortunate healthful propensities, it is more than likely that this affiliation will be supported by extra epidemiological and interventional considers. Diabetes in kids might be frequently connected with expanded hazard for periodontal sicknesses. An examination on the oral status of diabetic youngsters contrasted with a benchmark group demonstrated that:

1. There were no contrasts among case and control subjects as for dental caries.
2. Kids with diabetes had fundamentally higher plaque and gingival irritation levels and had a higher number of teeth with proof of connection misfortune.

These outcomes were critical significantly subsequent to controlling for age, sex, ethnicity, gingival dying, and recurrence of dental visits, particularly in the 12-to 18-year-old subgroup.

6. Conclusion

Health care workers should be aware of the increasing challenge posed by the correlations between dental caries, obesity, oral and systemic diseases. Furthermore, paediatric dentistry should team with other health professions in order to team in the prevention and treatment of these diseases.

7. Source of Funding

None.

8. Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Caufield PW, Li Y, Dasanayake A. Dental caries: an infectious and transmitable disease. *Compend Cont Educ Dent*. 2005;26:10–6.
2. Selwitz RH, Ismail AI, Pitts NB. Dental caries. *Lancet*. 2007;369(9555):51–9.
3. Abrantes MM, Lamounier JA, Colosimo EA. Comparison of body mass index values proposed by Cole et al. (2000) and Must et al. (1991) for identifying obese children with weight-for-height index recommended by the World Health Organisation. *Public Health Nutr*. 1991;6(3):307–11.
4. Agras WS, Kraemer HC, Berkowitz RI, Hammer LD. Influence of early feeding style on adiposity at 6 years of age. *J Pediatr*. 1990;116(5):805–9.
5. Ailhaud G, Guesnet P. Fatty acid composition of fats is an early determinant of childhood obesity: a short review and an opinion. *Obesity Rev*. 2004;5(1):21–6.
6. Al-Sendi AM, Shetty P, Musaiger AO, Myatt M. Relationship between body composition and blood pressure in Bahraini adolescents. *Br J Nutr*. 2003;90(4):837–44.
7. Breast-feeding and the use of human milk: work group on breast-feeding. *Am Acad Pediatr*. 1997;100(6):1035–9.
8. Asayama K, Ozeki T, Sugihara S, Ito K, Okada T, Tamai H, et al. Criteria for medical intervention in obese children: A new definition of 'Obesity disease' in Japanese children. *Pediatr Int*. 2003;45(5):642–6.
9. Astrup A. Dietary fat is a major player in obesity - but not the only one. *Obes Rev*. 2002;3(2):57–8.
10. Lisa-Marie A, Davies PSW. Diet composition and body composition in preschool children. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2000;72(1):15–21.
11. Bailes JR, Strow MT, Werthammer J, McGinnis RA, Elitsur Y. Effect of Low-Carbohydrate, Unlimited Calorie Diet on the Treatment of Childhood Obesity: A Prospective Controlled Study. *Metab Syndr Relat Disord*. 2003;1(3):221–5.
12. Flodmark CE, Lissau I, Moreno LA, Pietrobelli A, Widhalm K. New insights into the field of children and adolescents' obesity: the European perspective. *Int J Obes*. 2004;28(10):1189–96.
13. Strauss RS. Childhood Obesity and Self-Esteem. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 1999;29(4):513.
14. Health. Department of Health. Choosing Health. Making Healthy Choices Easier. London: Department of Health; 2004.
15. Foster RK, Buttriss J. A review of the Public Health White Paper – Choosing Health: making healthy choices easier. *Nutr Bull*. 2005;30(1):70–5.
16. National Social Marketing Centre. It's our health! Realising the potential of effective social marketing. Summary, independent review, findings and recommendations. National Social Marketing Centre; 2006. Available from: http://www.nsms.org.uk/images/CoreFiles/NCCSUMMARYItsOurHealthJune2006.pdf.
17. Report of a WHO Consultation on Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemic. Geneva: WHO. WHO; 2000.
18. Select Committee on Health. House of Commons – Health – Third Report. 2004;Available from: www.parliament.the-stationeryoffice.co.uk/pa/cm200304/cmselect/cmhealth/23/2302.html.
19. Guo SS, Roche AF, Chumlea WC, Gardner JD, Siervogel RM. The predictive value of childhood body mass index values for overweight at age 35 y. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1994;59(4):810–9.
20. Freedman DS, Khan LK, Mei Z, Dietz WH, Srinivasan SR, Berenson GS, et al. Relation of Childhood Height to Obesity Among Adults: The Bogalusa Heart Study. *Pediatr*. 2002;109(2):e23.
21. Dietz WH. The obesity epidemic in young children: reduce television viewing and promote playing. *BMJ*. 2001;322:313–4.
22. Cavadini C. US adolescent food intake trends from 1965 to 1996. *Arch Dis Childhood*. 2000;83(1):18–24.
23. Harnack LJ, Jeffery RW, Boutelle KN. Temporal trends in energy intake in the United States: an ecologic perspective. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2000;71(6):1478–84.
24. Takahashi E, Yoshida K, Sugimori H, Miyakawa M, Izuno T, Yamagami T, et al. Influence Factors on the Development of Obesity in 3-Year-Old Children Based on the Toyama Study. *Prev Med*. 1999;28(3):293–6.
25. Forslund HB, Torgerson JS, Sjöström L, Lindroos AK. Snacking frequency in relation to energy intake and food choices in obese men and women compared to a reference population. *Int J Obes*. 2005;29(6):711–9.
26. Jebb SA. Dietary strategies for the prevention of obesity. *Proce Nutr Soc*. 2005;64(2):217–27.
27. Sturm R. Childhood obesity - what we can learn from existing data on societal trends. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2005;2(2).
28. Jahns L, Siega-Riz AM, Popkin BM. The increasing prevalence of snacking among US children from 1977 to 1996. *J Pediatr*. 2001;138(4):493–8.
29. Marmonier C, Chapelot D, Louis-Sylvestre J. Effects of macronutrient content and energy density of snacks consumed in a satiety state on the onset of the next meal. *Appetite*. 2000;34(2):161–8.
30. Johnstone AM, Shannon E, Whybrow S, Reid CA, Stubbs RJ. Altering the temporal distribution of energy intake with isoenergetically dense foods given as snacks does not affect total daily energy intake in normal-weight men. *Br J Nutr*. 2000;83(1):7–14.
31. Blair AJ. When are calories most fattening? *Appetite*. 1991;17(2):161.
32. Barkeling B, Andersson I, Lindroos AK, Birkhed D, Rössner S. Intake of sweet foods and counts of cariogenic microorganisms in obese and normal-weight women. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2001;55(10):850–5.
33. Wolfe WS, Campbell CC, Frongillo EA, Haas JD, Melnik TA. Overweight schoolchildren in New York State: prevalence and characteristics. *Am J Public Health* . 1994;84(5):807–13.

34. Siega-Riz AM, Popkin BM, Carson T. Trends in breakfast consumption for children in the United States from 1965-1991. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1998;67(4):748S–56.
35. Thompson OM, Ballew C, Resnicow K, Gillespie C, Must A, Bandini LG, et al. Dietary pattern as a predictor of change in BMI z-score among girls. *Int J Obes*. 2006;30(1):176–82.
36. Ebbeling CB, Pawlak DB, Ludwig DS. Childhood obesity: public-health crisis, common sense cure. *Lancet*. 2002;360(9331):473–82.
37. Deckelbaum RJ, Williams CL. Childhood Obesity: The Health Issue. *Obes Res*. 2001;9(S11):239S–43.
38. Sørensen TIA, Holst C, Stunkard AJ. Adoption study of environmental modifications of the genetic influences on obesity. *Int J Obes*. 1998;22(1):73–81.
39. St-Onge MP, Keller KL, Heymsfield SB. Changes in childhood food consumption patterns: a cause for concern in light of increasing body weights. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2003;78(6):1068–73.
40. Bowman SA, Gortmaker SL, Ebbeling CB, Pereira MA, Ludwig DS. Effects of Fast-Food Consumption on Energy Intake and Diet Quality Among Children in a National Household Survey. *Pediatr*. 2004;113(1):112–8.
41. Rudolf MCJ, Hochberg Z, Speiser P. Perspectives on the development of an international consensus on childhood obesity. *Arch Dis Chil*. 2005;90(10):1871–87.
42. Prentice AM, Jebb SA. Fast foods, energy density and obesity: A possible mechanistic link. *Obes Rev*. 2003;4(4):187–94.
43. Ebbeling CB. Compensation for Energy Intake From Fast Food Among Overweight and Lean Adolescents. *JAMA*. 2004;291(23):2828–33.
44. Gregory J, Lowe S. National Diet and Nutritional Survey: Young People Aged 4 – 18 Years, vol. 1: Report of the Diet and Nutrition Survey. vol. 1. London: The Stationery Office; 2000.
45. Tucker LA, Seljaas GT, Hager RL. Body Fat Percentage of Children Varies According to Their Diet Composition. *J Am Diet Assoc* . 1997;97(9):981–6.
46. Guillaume M, Lapidus L, Lambert A. Obesity and nutrition in children. The Belgian Luxembourg Child Study IV. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 1998;52(5):323–8.
47. Alm A, Fåhraeus C, Wendt LK, Koch G, Anderson GB, Birkhed D, et al. Body adiposity status in teenagers and snacking habits in early childhood in relation to approximal caries at 15 years of age. *Int J Paediatr Dent*. 2008;18(3):189–96.
48. Marshall TA, Eichenberger-Gilmore JM, Broffitt BA, Warren JJ, Levy SM. Dental caries and childhood obesity: roles of diet and socioeconomic status. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2007;35(6):449–8.
49. Tuomi T. Pilot study on obesity in caries prediction. *Comm Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 1989;17(6):289–91.
50. Willerhausen B, Haas G, Krummenauer F, Hohenfeller K. Relationship between high weight and caries frequency in German elementary school children. *Eur J Med Res*. 2004;9:400–4.
51. Pinto A, Suhn K, Wadenya R, Rosenberg H. Is there an association between wight and dental caries among pediatric patients in an urban school? A correlation study. *J Dent Educ*. 2007;71:1435–40.
52. Willerhausen B, Blettner M, Kasaj A, Hohenfellner K. Association between body mass index and dental health in 1,290 children of elementary schools in a German city. *Clin Oral Investig*. 2007;11(3):195–200.
53. Willerhausen B, Moschos D, Azrak B, Blettner M. Correlation between oral health and body mass index (BMI) in 2071 primary school pupils. *Eur J Med Res*. 2007;12:295–304.
54. Granville-Garcia AF, de Menezes VA, de Lira PI, Ferreira JM, Leite-Cavalcanti A. Obesity and dental caries among preschool children in Brazil. *Rev Salud Publica (Bogota)*. 2008;10:5788–95.
55. Sheller B, Churchill SS, Williams BJ, Dabidson B. Body mass index of children with severe early childhood caries. *Pediatr Dent*. 2009;31:216–21.
56. Saito T, Shimazaki Y, Koga T, Tsuzuki M, Ohshima A. Relationship between Upper Body Obesity and Periodontitis. *J Dent Res*. 2001;80(7):1631–6.
57. Genco RJ, Grossi SG, Ho A, Nishimura F, Murayama Y. A Proposed Model Linking Inflammation to Obesity, Diabetes, and Periodontal Infections. *J Periodontol*. 2005;76(11-s):2075–84.
58. Saito T, Shimazaki Y, Kiyohara Y, Kato I, Kubo M, Iida M, et al. Relationship between upper body obesity and periodontitis. *J Dent Res*. 2001;80:1631–6.
59. Reeves AF, Rees JM, Schiff M, Hujoel P. Total Body Weight and Waist Circumference Associated With Chronic Periodontitis Among Adolescents in the United States. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2006;160(9):894–99.
60. Sariati F, Akhondi N, Ettehad T, Neyestani T, Kamali Z. Relationship between obesity and periodontal status in a sample of young Iranian adults. *Int Dent J*. 2008;58:36–40.
61. Lalla E, Cheng B, Lal S, Tucker S, Greenberg E, Goland R, et al. Periodontal Changes in Children and Adolescents With Diabetes: A case-control study. *Diabetes Care*. 2006;29(2):295–9.

Author biography

Shaik Ali Hassan Dental Surgeon

Sumit Bhateja HOD

Geetika Arora Reader

Francis Prathyusha BDS, MDS

Cite this article: Hassan SA, Bhateja S, Arora G, Prathyusha F. Obesity in children – A challenge that pediatric dentist ignores. *IP Int J Med Paediatr Oncol* 2020;6(3):85-89.